Second Substitute H.B. 67 (to be offered by Rep. Carol Spackman-Moss)

This bill will be proposed as a substitute to Representative Carl Wimmer's HB67 substitute bill:

	1	HEALTH SYSTEM AMENDMENTS			
	2	2010 GENERAL SESSION			
	3	STATE OF UTAH			
	4	Chief Sponsor: Carl Wimmer			
	5	Senate Sponsor:			
	6				
	7	LONG TITLE			
	8	General Description:			
	9	This bill prohibits a state agency or department from implementing federal health			
care					
	10	reform passed by the United States Congress after March 1, 2010, unless the state			
	11	Legislature specifically authorizes the implementation by statute.			
	12	Highlighted Provisions:			
	13	This bill:			
	14	. makes legislative findings;			
d	15	. prohibits a state agency or department from implementing any provision of			
the	16	federal health care reform unless the Legislature approves the implementation in			
	17	federal health care reform unless the Legislature approves the implementation in statute after receiving a report regarding:			
	18	. whether the federal act compels the state to adopt the particular federal			
	19	provision;			
Inserte		new lines 20-23: . whether and to what extent the state has achieved any of the			
		ant to state health reforms, including cost containment, quality improvement, and			
access to affordable health insurance coverage for currently uninsured Utah citizens.					
	20	. consequences to the state if the state refuses to adopt the particular federal			
	21	provision; and			

2	2 . impact to the citizens of the state if reform efforts are implemented or not
2	3 implemented.
2	4 Monies Appropriated in this Bill:
2	5 None
2	6 Other Special Clauses:
2	7 This bill provides an immediate effective date.
2	8 Utah Code Sections Affected:
2	9 ENACTS:
3	63M-1-2505.5, Utah Code Annotated 1953
3	1
3	2 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
3	Section 1. Section 63M-1-2505.5 is enacted to read:
3	4 <u>63M-1-2505.5.</u> Freedom from federal health reform efforts Preservation
of state	
3	5 reform efforts.
3	6 (1) The Legislature finds that:
3	(a) the state has embarked on a rigorous process of implementing a strategic
<u>plan for</u>	
3	8 <u>health system reform pursuant to Section 63M-1-2505</u> ;
3	(b) the health system reform efforts for the state were developed to address the
<u>unique</u>	
4	<u></u>
4	10, 0
which inc	
4	
	quality; and
4	+/
employer.	
4	<u></u>
to be vote	
<u>10 be vote</u> 4	
4	
	lifferent responses in
4	
4	
=	nealth system reform; and
5	
<u>care</u>	
	1 by:
5	

,					
	(B) possibly imposing fines on a person who chooses to pay directly for health the while providing exemptions for hardship situations, rather than				
	54 use a third party payer:				
-					
	55 (C) possibly imposing fines on an employer that does not meet federal ls for providing				
	56 health care benefits for employees; and				
	neuin cure venejus jor empioyees, unu				
- 4	(D) possibly threatening private health care systems with competing				
-	ent supported				
	58 <u>health care systems.</u>				
	(2) (a) A department or agency of the state may not implement any part of				
<u>federal</u>					
	health care reform passed by the United States Congress after March 1, 2010,				
<u>unless:</u>					
	(i) the department or agency reports to the Legislature's Health Reform Task				
Force an					
-	52 <u>the Legislative Executive Appropriations Committee in accordance with</u>				
	on (2)(b); and				
	(ii) the Legislature passes legislation specifically authorizing the state's				
<u>compliar</u>					
	64 <u>with, or participation in, federal health care reform.</u>				
	(b) The report required under Subsection (2)(a) shall include:				
((i) the specific federal statute or regulation that requires the state to implement				
<u>a</u>					
	57 <u>federal reform provision;</u>				
	(ii) whether the reform provision has any state waiver or options;				
	(iii) exactly what the reform provision requires the state to do, and how it would				
<u>be</u>					
	70 <u>implemented;</u>				
7	(iv) who in the state will be impacted by adopting the federal reform provision,				
<u>or not</u>					
	72 <u>adopting the federal reform provision;</u>				
	whether those persons and needs are better served by state health reform provisions;				
	(v) what is the cost to the state or citizens of the state to implement the federal				
<u>reform</u>					
	74 <u>provision; and</u>				
	(vi) the consequences to the state if the state does not comply with the federal				
<u>reform</u>					
·	provision, including the cost to the state, in terms of Medicaid funds and cost				
<u>shifting,</u>					
	77 Section 2. Effective date.				
	If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this bill				
<u>takes effe</u>	<u>ect</u>				

79	upon approval by the governor, or the day following the constitutional time limit
of Utah	
80	Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's signature, or in the case
of a veto,	
81	the date of veto override.

Formatted: Left